arXiv:0802.2751v2 [math.OA] 17 Jul 2008

MORITA EQUIVALENT SUBALGEBRAS OF IRRATIONAL ROTATION ALGEBRAS AND REAL QUADRATIC FIELDS

NORIO NAWATA

Abstract. In this paper, we determine the isomorphic classes of Morita equivalent subalgebras of irrational rotation algebras. It is based on the solution of the quadratic Diophantine equations. We determine the irrational rotation algebras that have locally trivial inclusions. We compute the index of the locally trivial inclusions of irrational rotation algebras.

Key words: Irrational rotation algebras, Morita equivalence, C^*-index theory, Real quadratic fields.
Mathmatics Subject Classifications (2000): Primary 46L05, Secondary 11D09, 11R11.

1. Introduction

Let θ be an irrational number. An irrational rotation algebra A_θ is the universal C^*-algebra generated by two unitaries u, v, with the relation $uv = e^{2\pi i\theta}vu$. It is simple and has a unique normalized trace. They were classified up to C^*-isomorphism and Morita equivalence [10],[11].

C^*-index theory in [13] is a C^*-algebraic version of index theory for subfactors by V. F. R. Jones [5]. Let $N \subseteq M$ be II_1-factors. If M is a hyperfinite factor and Jones index $[M : N]$ is finite, then N is also a hyperfinite factor. Hence, N is isomorphic to M as a von Neumann algebra. In C^*-index theory, there exist many non-isomorphic subalgebras that are of finite index. We need to consider isomorphic classes of subalgebras. K. Kodaka studied endomorphisms of certain irrational rotation algebras in [6]. Since A_θ is simple, the ranges of endomorphisms are isomorphic subalgebras of A_θ. In this paper, we extend his results and study the C^*-subalgebras of A_θ, that are Morita equivalent to A_θ.

Throughout the paper, we assume that a subalgebra has a common unit. We shall sketch the content of each section in this paper.

In section 2, we determine the isomorphic classes of Morita equivalent subalgebras of irrational rotation algebras. For example, Morita equivalent subalgebras of $A_{\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10}}$ are isomorphic to $A_{\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10}}$ or $A_{\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2}}$. Morita equivalent subalgebras of $A_{\frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{6}}$ are isomorphic to $A_{\frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{6}}$, $A_{\frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{3}}$, $A_{\frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{2}}$ or $A_{\sqrt{3}}$. It is based on the solution of the quadratic Diophantine equations. The isomorphic classes of Morita equivalent subalgebras of irrational rotation algebras are related to arithmetic properties of real quadratic fields. We show that a part of the decomposition of prime ideals in real quadratic fields is connected with the isomorphic classes of Morita equivalent subalgebras of irrational rotation algebras. We expect that there exists a connection with the real multiplication program by Y. Manin [8].

In section 3, we determine the irrational rotation algebras that have locally trivial inclusions, where an inclusion $B \subseteq A$ of C^*-algebras is called a locally trivial inclusion if there exist a projection q in A and an isomorphism φ of qAq onto $(1-q)A(1-q)$ such that $B = \{x + \varphi(x) \in A; x \in qAq\}$. If A is simple, then qAq and A are Morita equivalent. Hence, a locally trivial inclusion is a construction of a Morita equivalent subalgebra.

In section 4, we show that the index of the locally trivial inclusions of irrational rotation algebras are four, which is the same value as the case of subfactors(if we consider the minimal index due to F. Hiai [4],).

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to express his deep gratitude to Professor Y. Watatani for many helpful suggestions and guidance and to Professor K. Kodaka for helpful comments. The author also thanks many people in Kyushu university for helpful discussion.

2. Morita equivalent subalgebras

Let τ_θ denote a unique normalized trace of A_θ. The notion $A \cong B$ means that A is isomorphic to B as a C^*-algebra. Let $M_k(A_\theta)$ denote the algebra of all $k \times k$ matrices over A_θ. We denote by $\tau_{k,\theta}$ the unique normalized trace on $M_k(A_\theta)$. We refer the reader to B. Blackadar [1] and K. Davidson [2] for the basic properties of C^*-algebras.

First, we consider the condition of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ such that $M_k(A_\eta)$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of A_θ.

Lemma 2.1. If $M_k(A_\eta)$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra B of A_θ with a common unit, then there exists a natural number n such that $M_k(A_\eta) \cong A_{n\theta}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi : M_k(A_\eta) \to B$ be an isomorphism. Since the trace is unique and a subalgebra has a common unit,

$$\tau_\theta(\varphi(x)) = \tau_{k,\eta}(x) \qquad x \in M_k(A_\eta).$$

By [11](Proposition 1.3), there exist an integer l and a projection q_1 in $M_k(A_\eta)$ such that $\tau_{k,\eta}(q_1) = \eta + l$. Since $\varphi(q_1)$ is a projection in A_θ,

$$\eta + l \in (\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta) \cap [0,1].$$

There exist integers m_0,m_1 such that $\eta + l = m_0\theta + m_1$. Hence,

$$A_\eta \cong A_{\eta+l} \cong A_{m_0\theta+m_1} \cong A_{m_0\theta} \cong A_{|m_0|\theta}.$$

Define $n := |m_0|$.

Let q_2 and q_3 projections in $M_k(A_\eta)$ such that $\tau_{k,\eta}(q_2) = \frac{\eta+l}{k} = \frac{m_0\theta+m_1}{k}$ and $\tau_{k,\eta}(q_3) = \frac{1-\eta-l}{k} = \frac{1-m_0\theta-m_1}{k}$.

Since $\varphi(q_2)$ and $\varphi(q_3)$ are projections in A_θ,

$$\frac{m_0\theta + m_1}{k}, \frac{1 - m_0\theta - m_1}{k} \in (\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta) \cap [0,1].$$

Hence, $\frac{m_1}{k}$ and $\frac{1-m_1}{k}$ are integers. This implies $k = 1$. Therefore, $M_k(A_\eta) \cong A_{n\theta}$. □

We shall consider Morita equivalent subalgebra of A_θ. Let $GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ denote the group of 2×2 matrices with entires in $\mathbb{Z}$ and with determinant ± 1, and let $GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ act on the set of irrational numbers by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\theta = \frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}.$$

Proposition 2.2. A C^*-algebra B is isomorphic to a subalgebra of A_θ with a common unit and is Morita equivalent to A_θ if and only if there exists $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $g\in GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $n\theta = g\theta$ and $B\cong A_{n\theta}$.

Proof. We assume that B is isomorphic to a subalgebra of A_θ with a common unit and is Morita equivalent to A_θ. Since B is Morita equivalent to A_θ and has a unit, there exist $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $g_0\in GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $B\cong M_k(A_{g_0\theta})$ by [12](Corollary 2.6). Hence, there exists a natural number n such that $B\cong A_{n\theta}$ by Lemma 2.1. Therefore, $k=1$ and $A_{n\theta}\cong A_{g_0\theta}$. There exists an integer l such that $n\theta = g_0\theta + l$ or $n\theta = -g_0\theta + l$ by [11](Thorem 2). Let $g_1, g_2 \in GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ be

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define $g := g_1g_0$ or $g := g_1g_2g_0$. Then $g\in GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and $n\theta = g\theta$. Consequently, there exist $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $g\in GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $n\theta = g\theta$ and $B\cong A_{n\theta}$.

Conversely, we assume that there exist $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $g\in GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $n\theta = g\theta$ and $B\cong A_{n\theta}$. We consider a subalgebra generated by u^n and v. Since $u^n v = e^{2\pi i n\theta} v u^n$, it is isomorphic to $A_{n\theta}$. Since $n\theta = g\theta$, it is Morita equivalent to A_θ by [11](Theorem 4). Consequently, B is isomorphic to a subalgebra of A_θ and is Morita equivalent to A_θ. $\square$

We study the isomorphic classes of subalgebras of A_θ. We need the following well known fact.

Lemma 2.3. Let n_0, n_1 be natural numbers. If $A_{n_0\theta}$ is isomorphic to $A_{n_1\theta}$, then $n_0 = n_1$.

We consider the case where θ is not a quadratic irrational number.

Theorem 2.4. Let θ be an irrational number. Assume that θ is not a quadratic number. If B is a subalgebra of A_θ with a common unit and is Morita equivalent to A_θ, then B is isomorphic to A_θ.

Proof. On the contrary, we assume that A_θ had a non-isomorphic Morita equivalent subalgebra. Then there exist $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $g\in GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $n\theta = g\theta$ and $n\neq 1$ by Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3. There exist integers a,b,c,d such that $ad-bc=\pm 1$ and $n\theta = \frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}$. Hence, we have $nc\theta^2 + (dn-a)\theta - b = 0$. Since θ is not a quadratic irrational number and n is a natural number, $c=0$, $dn-a=0, b=0$. By $ad-bc=\pm 1$, $ad=\pm 1$. Therefore, $(a,d) = (1,1),(1,-1),(-1,1),(-1,-1)$. Hence, $n=\pm 1$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

We consider the case where θ is a quadratic irrational number. We may assume that θ satisfies $k\theta^2 + l\theta + m = 0$ with a natural number k and integers

l,m such that $gcd(k,l,m)=1$. The equation is uniquely determined. Let $D=l^2-4km$ be the discriminant of θ.

Lemma 2.5. Let θ be a quadratic irrational number with $k\theta^2+l\theta+m=0$ as above. If B is a subalgebra of A_θ with a common unit and is Morita equivalent to A_θ, then there exists a divisor n of k such that B is isomorphic to $A_{n\theta}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, there exist $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $g\in GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $n\theta=g\theta$ and $B\cong A_{n\theta}$. There exist integers a,b,c,d such that $ad-bc=\pm1$ and $n\theta=\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}$. Hence, we have $nc\theta^2+(dn-a)\theta-b=0$.
In the case $\theta=\frac{-l+\sqrt{D}}{2k}$,

$$\frac{nc(l^2-2l\sqrt{D}+D)}{4k^2}+\frac{(dn-a)(-l+\sqrt{D})}{2k}-b=0.$$

$$(\frac{nc(l^2+D)-2kdnl+2kal}{4k^2}-b)+(\frac{-2lnc+2kdn-2ka}{4k^2})\sqrt{D}=0.$$

Since $\sqrt{D}$ is an irrational number,

$$a=\frac{n}{k}(kd-lc),$$

$$b=\frac{nc(l^2+D)-2kdnl+2kal}{4k^2}.$$

Then

$$b=\frac{nc(l^2+D)-2kdnl+2nl(kd-lc)}{4k^2}=\frac{nc(D-l^2)}{4k^2}=-\frac{n}{k}mc.$$

Since $ad-bc=\pm1$,

$$\frac{n}{k}(kd^2-ldc)-(-\frac{n}{k}mc^2)=\pm1,$$

$$kd^2-ldc+mc^2=\pm\frac{k}{n}.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{k}{n}\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

In the case $\theta=\frac{-l-\sqrt{D}}{2k}$, it is proved in the same way. □

Theorem 2.6. Let θ be a quadratic irrational number with $k\theta^2+l\theta+m=0$ as above. Assume that n is a divisor of k and $k=\alpha n$ for a natural number α. Then there exists a subalgebra B of A_θ with a common unit such that B is isomorphic to $A_{n\theta}$ and is Morita equivalent to A_θ if and only if either $nx^2-lxy+\alpha my^2=1$ or $nx^2-lxy+\alpha my^2=-1$ has solutions of integers x and y.

Proof. We assume that there exists a subalgebra B of A_θ with a common unit such that B is isomorphic to $A_{n\theta}$ and is Morita equivalent to A_θ. Since A_θ and $A_{n\theta}$ are Morita equivalent, there exists a $g\in GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $n\theta=g\theta$. There exist integers a,b,c,d such that $ad-bc=\pm1$ and

$$g=\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the case $\theta = \frac{-l+\sqrt{D}}{2k}$, by the proof of Lemma 2.5,

$$a = \frac{n}{k}(kd - lc) = \frac{1}{\alpha}(kd - lc) = nd - \frac{lc}{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$b = -\frac{n}{k}mc = -\frac{mc}{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

There exists an integer t such that $c = t\alpha$. If not, it contradicts $gcd(k, l, m) = 1$.

$$ad - bc = \frac{n\alpha d^2 - l\alpha dt}{\alpha} + \frac{m\alpha^2 t^2}{\alpha} = nd^2 - ldt + \alpha mt^2.$$

Since $ad - bc = \pm 1$, $(x, y) = (d, t)$ is a solution of $nx^2 - lxy + \alpha my^2 = 1$ or $nx^2 - lxy + \alpha my^2 = -1$.

In the case $\theta = \frac{-l-\sqrt{D}}{2k}$, it is proved in the same way.

Conversely, we assume that either $nx^2 - lxy + \alpha my^2 = 1$ or $nx^2 - lxy + \alpha my^2 = -1$ has solutions of integers x and y. Let (d, t) be a solution of this equation. Define $a := nd - lt$, $b := -mt$, $c := \alpha t$,

$$g := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$ad - bc = nd^2 - dlt + \alpha mt^2 = nd^2 - ldt + \alpha mt^2 = \pm 1.$$

Therefore, $g \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

$$g\theta - n\theta = \frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d} - n\theta = \frac{a\theta + b - nc\theta^2 - dn\theta}{c\theta + d}$$

$$= \frac{(nd - lt)\theta - mt - kt\theta^2 - dn\theta}{c\theta + d} = \frac{-t(k\theta^2 + l\theta + m)}{c\theta + d} = 0.$$

Hence, $g\theta = n\theta$. By Proposition 2.2, there exists a subalgebra B of A_θ with a common unit such that B is isomorphic to $A_{n\theta}$ and is Morita equivalent to A_θ. □

There exists an algorithm of solving the quadratic Diophantine equations of the theorem above [3]. Hence, we can determine the isomorphic classes of Morita equivalent subalgebras of irrational rotation algebras.

We shall show some examples.

Example 2.7. Let θ be an algebraic integer of a real quadratic field. If B is a subalgebra of A_θ with a common unit and is Morita equivalent to A_θ, then B is isomorphic to A_θ.

Proof. An algebraic integer θ is a solution of monic equation. By Lemma 2.5, A_θ does not have any non-isomorphic Morita equivalent subalgebras. □

Example 2.8. Let $\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$ with p is a prime number. If B is a subalgebra of A_θ with a common unit and is Morita equivalent to A_θ, then B is isomorphic to A_θ or $A_{p\theta}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that θ is a solution of $p\theta^2 - 1 = 0$. By Lemma 2.5, it is sufficient to consider only $A_{p\theta}$. An equation $px^2 - y^2 = -1$ has an integer solution $(0, 1)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6, Morita equivalent subalgebras of A_θ are A_θ and $A_{p\theta}$. □

Example 2.9. Let $\theta = \frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10}$. If B is a subalgebra of A_θ with a common unit and is Morita equivalent to A_θ, then B is isomorphic to A_θ or $A_{5\theta}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that θ is a solution of $5\theta^2 - 5\theta + 1 = 0$. By Lemma 2.5, it is sufficient to consider only $A_{5\theta}$. An equation $5x^2 + 5xy + y^2 = 1$ has an integer solution $(1, -1)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6, Morita equivalent subalgebras of A_θ are A_θ and $A_{5\theta}$. $\square$

Example 2.10. Let $\theta = \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{6}$. If B is a subalgebra of A_θ with a common unit and is Morita equivalent to A_θ, then B is isomorphic to A_θ, $A_{2\theta}$ $A_{3\theta}$ or $A_{6\theta}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that θ is a solution of $6\theta^2 - 6\theta + 1 = 0$. By Lemma 2.5, it is sufficient to consider $A_{6\theta}$, $A_{3\theta}$, $A_{2\theta}$. An equation $6x^2+6xy+y^2 = 1$ has an integer solution $(0, 1)$. An equation $3x^2 + 6xy + 2y^2 = -1$ has an integer solution $(1, -1)$. An equation $2x^2 + 6xy + 3y^2 = -1$ has an integer solution $(1, -1)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6, Morita equivalent subalgebras of A_θ are A_θ, $A_{2\theta}$, $A_{3\theta}$, $A_{6\theta}$. $\square$

Example 2.11. Let $\theta = \frac{-5+\sqrt{65}}{10}$. If B is a subalgebra of A_θ with a common unit and is Morita equivalent to A_θ, then B is isomorphic to A_θ.

Proof. It is easy to see that θ is a solution of $5\theta^2+5\theta-2 = 0$. By Lemma 2.5, it is sufficient to consider only $A_{5\theta}$. We shall show that $5x^2-5xy-2y^2 = \pm 1$ do not have any solutions of integers. We consider the left hand side of this equations modulo 5, then

$$5x^2 - 5xy - 2y^2 \equiv 0, 2, 3 \quad mod\, 5.$$

Hence,

$$5x^2 - 5xy - 2y^2 \not\equiv \pm 1 \quad mod\, 5.$$

Therefore, this equations do not have solutions of integers. By Theorem 2.6, A_θ does not have any non-isomorphic Morita equivalent subalgebras. $\square$

We shall show the isomorphic classes of Morita equivalent subalgebras of irrational rotation algebras are related to arithmetic properties of real quadratic fields. We refer the reader to Y. Manin and A. Panchishkin [9] for the basic properties of algebraic number theory.

Corollary 2.12. Let θ be a quadratic irrational number with $p\theta^2 + l\theta + m = 0$. Assume that p is a prime number and l,m are integers such that $gcd(p, l, m) = 1$. We denote by $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ an algebraic field generated by θ. The ring of integers of $\mathbb{K}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. If A_θ has a non-isomorphic Morita equivalent subalgebra, then $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is not a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$, that is, p splits completely or is ramified in $\mathbb{K}$.

Proof. Since A_θ has a non-isomorphic Morita equivalent subalgebra, $A_{p\theta}$ is isomorphic to a Morita equivalent subalgebra of A_θ by Lemma 2.5. By Theorem 2.6, either $px^2-lxy+my^2 = 1$ or $px^2-lxy+my^2 = -1$ has solutions of integers. We denote by (d, t) one of them. Compute this equation,

$$(pd - \frac{l}{2}t + \frac{\sqrt{D}}{2}t)(pd - \frac{l}{2}t - \frac{\sqrt{D}}{2}t) = \pm p$$

Because $\frac{-l+\sqrt{D}}{2}$ and $\frac{-l-\sqrt{D}}{2}$ are solutions of $\eta^2 + l\eta + pm = 0$,

$$pd - \frac{l}{2}t + \frac{\sqrt{D}}{2}t, \quad pd - \frac{l}{2}t - \frac{\sqrt{D}}{2}t \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$pd - \frac{l}{2}t + \frac{\sqrt{D}}{2}t, \quad pd - \frac{l}{2}t - \frac{\sqrt{D}}{2}t \notin p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}.$$

Hence, $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is not a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$, that is, $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ splits completely or is ramified. □

3. LOCALLY TRIVIAL INCLUSIONS

In section 2, we consider a subalgebra generated by u^n, v and the isomorphic classes of the subalgebra. In this section, we shall show there exist other Morita equivalent subalgebras of certain irrational rotation algebras. First, we define a locally trivial inclusion.

Definition 3.1. Let $B \subseteq A$ be C^*-algebras. An inclusion $B \subseteq A$ is called a locally trivial inclusion if there exist a projection q in A and an isomorphism φ of qAq onto $(1-q)A(1-q)$ such that $B = \{x + \varphi(x) \in A; x \in qAq\}$.

Since B is isomorphic to qAq, if A is simple, then B is Morita equivalent to A.

K. Kodaka determined the irrational rotation algebras that have a locally trivial inclusion $B \subseteq A_\theta$ with $B \cong A_\theta$ in [6]. He showed that there exists a projection q in A_θ such that $A_\theta \cong qA_\theta q \cong (1-q)A_\theta(1-q)$ if and only if the discriminant of θ is five. We determine the irrational rotation algebras that have a locally trivial inclusion $B \subseteq A_\theta$. We do not assume that B is isomorphic to A_θ.

Let c, d be integers with $gcd(c,d) = 1$. We also assume $c \neq 0$. Let $V_\theta(d,c;k)$ be the standard module defined in [12] where k is a natural number. It is a $M_k(A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}}) - A_\theta$-equivalence bimodule constructed in [12] for any integers a, b such that $ad - bc = \pm 1$. Since $V_\theta(d,c;k)$ is a finitely generated projective right A_θ-module, it corresponds to a projection in some $M_k(A_\theta)$. We also denote it by $V_\theta(m,l;k)$. Let $Tr_\theta := \tau_\theta \otimes Tr$ be the unnormalized trace on $M_k(A_\theta)$ where Tr is the usual trace on $M_k(\mathbb{C})$. The following lemma is based on a proof in K. Kodaka [6](Lemma 7).

Lemma 3.2. *If q is a proper projection in A_θ such that $\tau_\theta(q) = k(c\theta + d)$ where k is a natural number and c, d are integers such that $gcd(c,d) = 1$, then*

$$qA_\theta q \cong M_k(A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}})$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad - bc = \pm 1$.

Proof. By [12](Theorem 1.4), $Tr_\theta(V_\theta(d,c;k)) = k(c\theta + d)$. Let e_1 be a rank one projection in $M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Then qA_θ is isomorphic to $(q \otimes e_1)M_k(A_\theta)$ as a module. Since $Tr_\theta(q \otimes e_1) = k(c\theta + d) = Tr_\theta(V_\theta(c,d;k))$, qA_θ is isomorphic to $V_\theta(c,d;k)$ as a module by [6](Lemma 6). Since qA_θ is the $qA_\theta q$-A_θ-equivalence bimodule,

$$qA_\theta q \cong M_k(A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}}).$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad - bc = \pm 1$ by [12](Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 2.6). $\square$

Let
$S_1 = \{\frac{-K(2d-1)\pm\sqrt{K^2-4K}}{2cK}; K, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, K \geq 5, gcd(c, d) = 1, \frac{Kd^2-Kd+1}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,

$S_2 = \{\frac{2-K(2d-1)-\sqrt{K^2+4}}{2cK}; K, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, K \neq 0, gcd(c, d) = 1, \frac{Kd^2-Kd-2d+1}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

We consider the condition of an irrational rotation algebra A_θ that has a locally trivial inclusion.

Lemma 3.3. If an irrational rotation algebra A_θ has a locally trivial inclusion, then $\theta \in S_1 \cup S_2$.

Proof. There exists a projection q such that $qA_\theta q \cong (1-q)A_\theta(1-q)$. By [11](Proposition 1.3), there exist integers c, d and a natural number k such that $\tau_\theta(q) = k(c\theta + d)$ and $gcd(c, d) = 1$. Since $qA_\theta q \cong (1-q)A_\theta(1-q)$, $c \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$qA_\theta q \cong M_k(A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}})$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad - bc = \pm 1$. Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad - bc = 1$. Since $M_k(A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}})$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of A_θ with a common unit, $k = 1$ by Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 3.2 and $qA_\theta q \cong (1-q)A_\theta(1-q)$, there exist integers s, t such that $s(1-d) + tc = \pm 1$ and

$$\frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d} = \frac{s\theta + t}{-c\theta + 1 - d}.$$

Compute the equation above,

$$(s + a)c\theta^2 + ((s + a)d + (t + b)c - a)\theta + (t + b)d - b = 0.$$

(i) The case $s(1-d) + tc = 1$.
By $ad - bc = 1$ and $s(1-d) + tc = 1$, $(t + b)c = (s + a)d - s$. Since $c \neq 0$, $t + b = \frac{(s+a)d-s}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence,

$$(s + a)c\theta^2 + (2(s + a)d - (s + a))\theta + \frac{(s + a)d^2 - (s + a)d + 1}{c} = 0.$$

Since $\frac{(s+a)d-s}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $-bc = -ad + 1$,

$$\frac{(s + a)d^2 - (s + a)d + 1}{c} = d\frac{(s + a)d - s}{c} - b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Define $K := s + a$. Then

$$Kc\theta^2 + (2Kd - K)\theta + \frac{Kd^2 - Kd + 1}{c} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{Kd^2 - Kd + 1}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Solve the equation above, then $\theta = \frac{-K(2d-1)\pm\sqrt{K^2-4K}}{2cK}$. Since $0 < \tau_\theta(q) < 1$, $0 < c\theta + d = \frac{K\pm\sqrt{K^2-4K}}{2K} < 1$. Hence, $K \geq 5$. Consequently, $\theta \in S_1$.

(ii) The case $s(1-d) + tc = -1$.

By $ad - bc = 1$ and $s(1-d) + tc = -1$, $(t+b)c = (s+a)d - s - 2$. Since $c \neq 0$, $t + b = \frac{(s+a)d-s-2}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence,

$$(s+a)c\theta^2 + (2(s+a)d - (s+a) - 2)\theta + \frac{(s+a)d^2 - (s+a)d - 2d + 1}{c} = 0.$$

Since $\frac{(s+a)d-s-2}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $-bc = -ad + 1$,

$$\frac{(s+a)d^2 - (s+a)d - 2d + 1}{c} = d\frac{(s+a)d - s - 2}{c} - b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Define $K := s + a$. Then

$$Kc\theta^2 + (2Kd - K - 2)\theta + \frac{Kd^2 - Kd - 2d + 1}{c} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{Kd^2 - Kd - 2d + 1}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Solve an equation above, then $\theta = \frac{-K(2d-1)+2\pm\sqrt{K^2+4}}{2cK}$. Since $0 < \tau_\theta(q) < 1$, $0 < \frac{K+2\pm\sqrt{K^2+4}}{2K} < 1$. Hence,

$$\theta = \frac{-K(2d-1) + 2 - \sqrt{K^2+4}}{2cK}.$$

Consequently, $\theta \in S_2$. □

Lemma 3.4. If $\theta \in S_1$, then A_θ has a locally trivial inclusion.

Proof. There exist integers c, d, and K such that $gcd(c,d) = 1$, $K \geq 5$, $\frac{Kd^2-Kd+1}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\theta = \frac{-K(2d-1)\pm\sqrt{K^2-4K}}{2cK}$. Since $K \geq 5$, $0 < c\theta + d = \frac{K\pm\sqrt{K^2-4K}}{2K} < 1$. By [11](Proposition1.3), there exists a projection q in A_θ such that $\tau_\theta(q) = c\theta + d$. By $gcd(c,d) = 1$, there exist integers a, b such that $ad - bc = 1$. Define $s := K - a$ and $t := \frac{s(d-1)+1}{c}$. We shall show that t is an integer.

$$\begin{aligned} t = \frac{(K-a)(d-1)+1}{c} &= \frac{Kd - K - ad + a + 1}{c} \\ = \frac{Kd - K - bc - 1 + a + 1}{c} &= -b + \frac{Kd - K + a}{c} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we only need to show that $\frac{Kd-K+a}{c}$ is an integer. Since $gcd(c,d) = 1$, it is sufficient to show that $\frac{d}{c}(Kd - K + a)$ is an integer.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{c}(Kd - K + a) &= \frac{Kd^2 - Kd + ad}{c} \\ = \frac{Kd^2 - Kd + bc + 1}{c} &= b + \frac{Kd^2 - Kd + 1}{c} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{Kd^2-Kd+1}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\frac{d}{c}(Kd - K + a)$ is an integer. Therefore, t is an integer. It is easy to see that $s(1-d) + tc = 1$. By Lemma3.2,

$$qA_\theta q \cong A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}}, \qquad (1-q)A_\theta(1-q) \cong A_{\frac{s\theta+t}{-c\theta+1-d}}.$$

By computation,

$$\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d} - \frac{s\theta+t}{-c\theta+1-d} = -\frac{Kc\theta^2 + (2Kd-K)\theta + \frac{Kd^2-Kd+1}{c}}{(c\theta+d)(-c\theta+1-d)} = 0.$$

Hence, $\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d} = \frac{s\theta+t}{-c\theta+1-d}$. Therefore, $qA_\theta q \cong (1-q)A_\theta(1-q)$. Consequently, A_θ has a locally trivial inclusion. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. If $\theta \in S_2$, then A_θ has a locally trivial inclusion.

Proof. There exist integers c, d, and K such that $gcd(c,d) = 1$, $K \neq 0$, $\frac{Kd^2-Kd-2d+1}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\theta = \frac{-K(2d-1)+2-\sqrt{K^2+4}}{2cK}$. It is easy to see that $0 < c\theta + d = \frac{K+2-\sqrt{K^2+4}}{2K} < 1$. By [11](Proposition 1.3), there exists a projection q in A_θ such that $\tau_\theta(q) = c\theta + d$. By $gcd(c,d) = 1$, there exist integers a, b such that $ad - bc = 1$. Define $s := K - a$ and $t := \frac{s(d-1)-1}{c}$. We shall show that t is an integer.

$$\begin{aligned} t = \frac{(K-a)(d-1)-1}{c} &= \frac{Kd-K-ad+a-1}{c} \\ = \frac{Kd-K-bc-1+a-1}{c} &= -b + \frac{Kd-K+a-2}{c} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we only need to show that $\frac{Kd-K+a-2}{c}$ is an integer. Since $gcd(c,d) = 1$, it is sufficient to show that $\frac{d}{c}(Kd-K+a-2)$ is an integer.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{c}(Kd-K+a-2) &= \frac{Kd^2-Kd+ad-2d}{c} \\ = \frac{Kd^2-Kd+bc+1-2d}{c} &= b + \frac{Kd^2-Kd-2d+1}{c} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{Kd^2-Kd-2d+1}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\frac{d}{c}(Kd-K+a-2)$ is an integer. Therefore, t is an integer. It is easy to see that $s(1-d) + tc = -1$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$qA_\theta q \cong A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}}, \qquad (1-q)A_\theta(1-q) \cong A_{\frac{s\theta+t}{-c\theta+1-d}}.$$

By computation,

$$\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d} - \frac{s\theta+t}{-c\theta+1-d} = -\frac{Kc\theta^2 + (2Kd-K-2)\theta + \frac{Kd^2-Kd-2d+1}{c}}{(c\theta+d)(-c\theta+1-d)} = 0.$$

Hence, $\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d} = \frac{s\theta+t}{-c\theta+1-d}$. Therefore, $qA_\theta q \cong (1-q)A_\theta(1-q)$. Consequently, A_θ has a locally trivial inclusion. $\square$

We shall determine the locally inclusions of irrational rotation algebra.

Theorem 3.6. We have the following.

(1) Let $\theta = \frac{-K(2d-1)+\sqrt{K^2-4K}}{2cK}$ with $K, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K \geq 5$, $gcd(c,d) = 1$ and $\frac{Kd^2-Kd+1}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the irrational rotation algebra A_θ has a locally trivial inclusion $A_{K\theta} \subseteq A_\theta$.

(2) Let $\theta = \frac{-K(2d-1)-\sqrt{K^2-4K}}{2cK}$ with $K, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K \geq 5$, $gcd(c,d) = 1$ and $\frac{Kd^2-Kd+1}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the irrational rotation algebra A_θ has a locally trivial inclusion $A_{K\theta} \subseteq A_\theta$.

(3) Let $\theta = \frac{-K(2d-1)+2-\sqrt{K^2+4}}{2cK}$ with $K, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K \neq 0$, $gcd(c,d) = 1$ and $\frac{Kd^2-Kd-2d+1}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the irrational rotation algebra A_θ has a locally trivial inclusion $A_{K\theta} \subseteq A_\theta$.

(4) Let θ be an irrational number and not in (1), (2) and (3). Then the irrational rotation algebra A_θ does not have any locally trivial inclusions.

Proof.
Proof of (1). By Lemma 3.4, A_θ has a locally trivial inclusion $A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}} \subseteq A_\theta$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad - bc = \pm 1$. Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad - bc = 1$. Define

$$m := \frac{Kd - K + a}{c}.$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.4, we have $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Simple computation shows that

$$\frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d} - m = \frac{-K(2d-1) + \sqrt{K^2 - 4K}}{2c} = K\theta.$$

Consequently, $A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}} \cong A_{K\theta}$.

Proof of (2). By a similar argument of (1), it is proved.

Proof of (3). By Lemma 3.5, A_θ has a locally trivial inclusion $A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}} \subseteq A_\theta$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad - bc = \pm 1$. Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad - bc = 1$. Define

$$m := \frac{Kd - K + a - 2}{c}.$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.5, we have $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Simple computation shows that

$$\frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d} - m = \frac{-K(2d-1) + 2 - \sqrt{K^2 + 4}}{2c} = K\theta$$

Consequently, $A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}} \cong A_{K\theta}$.

Proof of (4). This is immediate by Lemma 3.3. □

The case where K. Kodaka studied in [6] is (3) with $K = \pm 1$. We shall show some examples.

Example 3.7. Let θ be an algebraic integer of a real quadratic field. If the discriminant of θ is not five, then A_θ does not have any locally trivial inclusions.

Example 3.8. Let $\theta = \frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10}$. Then A_θ has locally trivial inclusions $A_\theta \subseteq A_\theta$ and $A_{5\theta} \subseteq A_\theta$.

Example 3.9. Let $\theta = \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{6}$. Then A_θ has a locally trivial inclusion $A_{6\theta} \subseteq A_\theta$.

4. THE INDEX OF THE LOCALLY TRIVIAL INCLUSIONS

In this section, we compute the index of the locally trivial inclusions of irrational rotation algebras. First, we review some definitions of C^*-index theory in [13].

Definition 4.1. Let $B \subseteq A$ be C^*-algebras with a common unit and $E : A \to B$ a conditional expectation. A finite family $\{(u_1, v_1), ..., (u_n, v_n)\}$ is called quasi-basis if the following equations hold:

$$x = \sum_i u_i E(v_i x) = \sum_i E(x u_i) v_i \qquad x \in A.$$

We say that a conditional expectation $E : A \to B$ is of index-finite type if there exists a quasi-basis for E. In this case we define the index of E by

$$IndexE := \sum_i u_i v_i.$$

$IndexE$ belongs to the centre of A and does not depend on the choice of quasi-basis.

Definition 4.2. Assume that the centre of A and the centre of B are scalars. Let $\epsilon_0(A, B)$ denote the set of all expectations of A onto B of index-finite type. We define minimal index $[A : B]_0$ by

$$[A : B]_0 := min\{IndexF; F \in \epsilon_0(A, B)\}.$$

Let B be a subalgebra of A_θ generated by u^n and v. Then $[A_\theta : B]_0 = n$ [13].

Throughout this section, we assume that q is a projection in A_θ such that $qA_\theta q \cong (1-q)A_\theta(1-q)$. Let φ denote an isomorphism of $qA_\theta q$ onto $(1-q)A_\theta(1-q)$. We may assume $\tau_\theta(q) > 1/2$. Let $B := \{x+\varphi(x); x \in qA_\theta q\}$.

We define a conditional expectation $E : A_\theta \to B$ by,

$$E(x) := \frac{1}{2}(qxq + (1-q)x(1-q) + \varphi(qxq) + \varphi^{-1}((1-q)x(1-q))).$$

Then by an easy computation, we see that E is a faithful conditional expectation.

The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 4.3. Let q_1, q_2 be projections in A_θ. If $\tau_\theta(q_1) \geq \tau_\theta(q_2)$, then there exists a unitary element w in A_θ such that $q_1 \geq w^* q_2 w$.

We shall show the key lemma.

Lemma 4.4. There exist a natural number n and a projection q_0 in $(1-q)A_\theta(1-q)$ and orthogonal projections $q_1, ..., q_n$ in $qA_\theta q$ and unitary elements $w_1, ..., w_n$ in A_θ such that $q = q_1 + q_2 + ... + q_n$ and $q_i = w_i^*(1-q)w_i, (0 < i < n)$ and $q_n = w_n^* q_0 w_n$.

Proof. Since we assume $\tau_\theta(q) > 1/2$, $\tau_\theta(q) > \tau_\theta(1-q)$. It is easy to see that there exists a unique natural number k such that

$$0 < \tau_\theta(q) - k\tau_\theta(1-q) < \tau_\theta(1-q).$$

Define $n := k + 1$.

By Lemma 4.3, there exist a projection q_1 in $qA_\theta q$ and a unitary element w_1 in A_θ such that $q_1 = w_1^*(1-q)w_1$. We shall do the same way in $(1 < i < n)$. Since

$$\tau_\theta(1-q) < \tau_\theta(q) - (i-1)\tau_\theta(1-q) = \tau_\theta(q - q_1 - ... - q_{i-1}),$$

there exist a projection q_i in $(q - q_1 - ... - q_{i-1})A_\theta(q - q_1 - ... - q_{i-1})$ and a unitary element w_i in A_θ such that $q_i = w_i^*(1-q)w_i$. Define $q_n :=$

$q - q_1 - ... - q_{n-1}$. Since $\tau_\theta(1-q) > \tau_\theta(q_n)$, there exist a projection q_0 in $(1-q)A_\theta(1-q)$ and a unitary element w_n such that $q_n = w_n^* q_0 w_n$. Therefore, we obtain the conclusion. □

We shall construct a quasi-basis for E by the lemma above.

Lemma 4.5. A family $\{(u_1, u_1^*), ..., (u_{n+3}, u_{n+3}^*)\} :=$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\sqrt{2}\,q, \sqrt{2}\,q), (\sqrt{2}\,(1-q), \sqrt{2}\,(1-q)), (\sqrt{2}\,(1-q)w_1 q, \sqrt{2}\,q w_1^*(1-q)), \\ (\sqrt{2}\,w_1^*(1-q), \sqrt{2}\,(1-q)w_1), ..., (\sqrt{2}\,w_{n-1}^*(1-q), \sqrt{2}\,(1-q)w_{n-1}), \\ (\sqrt{2}\,w_n^* q_0, \sqrt{2}\,q_0 w_n) \end{array} \right\}$$

is a quasi-basis for E.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$x = \sum_i u_i E(u_i^* x) \qquad x \in A_\theta.$$

For any $x \in A_\theta$, we see by Lemma 4.4,

$$qE(qx) = \frac{1}{2} qxq,$$

$$(1-q)E((1-q)x) = \frac{1}{2}(1-q)x(1-q),$$

$$(1-q)w_1 q E(q w_1^*(1-q)x) = \frac{1}{2}(1-q)xq,$$

$0 < i < n$

$$w_i^*(1-q)E((1-q)w_i x) = \frac{1}{2} q_i x(1-q),$$

$$w_n^* q_0 E(q_0 w_n x) = \frac{1}{2} q_n x(1-q).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x & = & qxq + (1-q)x(1-q) + (1-q)xq \\ & & + q_1 x(1-q) + ... + q_{n-1}x(1-q) + q_n x(1-q) \\ & = & \sqrt{2}\,qE(\sqrt{2}\,qx) + \sqrt{2}\,(1-q)E(\sqrt{2}\,(1-q)x) \\ & & + \sqrt{2}\,(1-q)w_1 q E(\sqrt{2}\,q w_1^*(1-q)x) \\ & & + \sqrt{2}\,w_1^*(1-q)E(\sqrt{2}\,(1-q)w_1 x) + ... \\ & & + \sqrt{2}\,w_{n-1}^*(1-q)E(\sqrt{2}\,(1-q)w_{n-1}x) \\ & & + \sqrt{2}\,w_n^* q_0 E(\sqrt{2}\,q_0 w_n x) \end{array}$$

Consequently, this family is a quasi-basis. □

Lemma 4.6.

$$Index E = 4.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} IndexE &= \sqrt{2}\,q\sqrt{2}\,q + \sqrt{2}\,(1-q)\sqrt{2}\,(1-q) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2}\,(1-q)w_1 q\sqrt{2}\,q w_1^*(1-q) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2}\,w_1^*(1-q)\sqrt{2}\,(1-q)w_1 + ... \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2}\,w_{n-1}^*(1-q)\sqrt{2}\,(1-q)w_{n-1} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2}\,w_n^* q_0\sqrt{2}\,q_0 w_n \\ &= 2q + 2(1-q) + 2(1-q) + 2q_1 + ... + 2q_n \\ &= 4 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.7. Let $B \subseteq A_\theta$ be a locally trivial inclusion. Then

$$[A_\theta : B]_0 = 4.$$

Proof. This is immediate by Lemma 4.6 and [13](Theorem 2,12.3). □

Remark 4.8. (1) The index of the locally trivial inclusions of irrational rotation algebras is the same value as the case of subfactors(if we consider the minimal index due to F. Hiai [4],).

(2) Since simple TAI C^*-algebras which have a unique trace have the property of Lemma 4.3 (see Corollary 4.6 and Theorem 4.7 in [7]), we can show that the index of the locally trivial inclusions of simple TAI C^*-algebras which have a unique trace is four in the similar way.

REFERENCES

[1] B. Blackadar, *Operator Algebras : Theory of C*-Algebras and von Neumann Algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **122**, Springer, 2006.

[2] K.R. Davidson, *C^*-Algebras by Example*, Fields Institute monographs , **6**, Amer. Math. Soc. , Providence, 1996.

[3] D.C.F. Gauss, *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*, 1801.

[4] F. Hiai, *Minimizing indices of conditional expectations onto a subfactor*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **24**, (1988) 673–678

[5] V.F.R. Jones, *Index for subfactors*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983) 1-25.

[6] K. Kodaka, *Endomorphisms of certain irrational rotation C^*-algebras*, Illinois J. Math. **36** (1992) 643-658.

[7] H. Lin, *Simple nuclear C^*-algebras of tracial topological rank one* J. Functional Analysis, **251** (2007), No.2, 601-679.

[8] Y. Manin, *Real multiplication and noncommutative geomtry*, In: The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, Springer Verlag, Berlin (2004) 685-727.

[9] Y. Manin and A. A. Panchishkin, *Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **49**, Springer, 2005.

[10] M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, *Imbedding the irrational rotation C^*-algebra into an AF-algebra*, J. Operator Theory, **4** (1980) 201-210.

[11] M. A. Rieffel, *C^*-algebras associated with an irrational rotations*, Pacific J. Math, **93** No.2(1981) 415-429.

[12] M. A. Rieffel, *The cancellation theorem for projective modules over irrational rotation C^*-algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **47** (1983) 285-302.

[13] Y. Watatani. *Index for C^*-subalgebras*, Mem. AMS **83**, Nr. 424, Providence, RA, 1990.

(Norio Nawata) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, HAKOZAKI, FUKUOKA, 812-8581, JAPAN

E-*mail address*: n-nawata@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp